TRUTH DEFENDED, 194

E11-56234

OR

A REPLY

TO THE "PRESTON CHRONICLE,"

AND TO

MR. J. B. ROLLO'S

"MORMONISM EXPOSED."

Extracted from the Millennial Star for July, 1841.

HOW FEW THINK JUSTLY, 'MONG THE THINKING FEW, HOW MANY THINK THEY THINK, WHO NEVER DO! POPE.

MANCHESTER: PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY P. P. PRATT, 47, OXFORD STREET.

1841.

TRUTH DEFENDED.

REPLY TO THE PRESTON CHRONICLE.

The PRESTON CHRONICLE of April 24th contains a long article taken mostly from the Baptist Register (an American paper), on the system of the Latter-Day Saints, from which we extract the following:---

"The Indians are the Lamanites, and this is the land of their inheritance, as Palestine is that of the Jews. This good land, more precious than all others, was given to the Nephites, in an everlasting covenant. And in the Book of Mormon, all their sufferings, all the abuse continent, and their dwindling in unbelief, are all the subjects of prophecy. The reader would obtain a very correct history of the present state of the Indians by reading that book. But the tables are to be turned, and the Gentiles are to be cut off, all of them, every man, woman, and child, who do not embrace their system. Yes, Mormonism is to triumph, and possess this goodly land.

There is one prediction to this amount, coming from Christ himself: " O ye Gentiles, on this continent, repent and come unto me, that ye may be numbered with my people, O house of Israel, else my people, O house of Israel, shall go through and tread you down, as the lion doth his prey." This is the constant theme of the Mormon priesthood. It is declared in the Bock of Mormon, that the Indians are the decendants of Joseph, in the tribe of Manessch And they are to be converted by the Beok of Mormon, and congregated with the Mormons in the holy city, New Jeruslem. After which, we Gentiles, are to be destroyed. The sword may be unsheathed by an unfuriated fanaticism and he to this continent what Mohammedism was to the continent of Asia. Smith and his prie shood dwell on this theme. We by analogy are Canaanites-intruders; Smith is another Moses, and some one another Joshua and all who do not act the part of Rahab, are to be served as was Joricho. This description is no ---fiction. These fulminations have struck terror into the hearts of the timid, and terrified them

into submission to the Mormon yoke. Popery with its triple crown, never made greater pretensions than Mormonism does, with its Triune Priesthood.

"If Mormonism succeeds, Christianity will receive a mortifying blow. The question is, what ought to be done? The answer is, "inform the people." We have looked upon it as a mcre delusion, containing the seeds of its own dissolution. But there is order in this fanaticism, there is system in this imposture and it carries with it an invisible spirit, by which the learned and the unlearned are strangely overcome.

All classes of the community are interested. The politician as well as the Ubristian. The triuns priesthood, constituting in itself a kingly power, will as soon draw the sword against our government as against our religion. The Mormon priests with the Bock of Mormon, are traversing England and the continent of Europe and being unknown comparatively have greater success there than at home."

In reply to the above remarks of the enemies of the Latter-Day Saints. We would barely observe that the persecutors of Christ and his followers have always excused themselves in their lying, and murder, and violence, by pretending that those whom they persecuted were going to do something evil by and by. Herod sent forth the exterminating order against the stildren of Bethlehem, not for any thing they had done, but because it was predicted that a king of the Jews should be born in Bethlehem ; therefore, in anticipation of the treason or murder which the infant Jesus might live to commit, he thought to destroy him. This same spirit of jealousy in the hearts of Jew and Gentile still accused Jesus of some treason or murder which he was going to commit, till at length they crucified him.

This same spirit instigated the persecutions, inspirisonments, and stripes, which were inflicted upon the Apostles and Saints of old. They opposed them, not for what they had done, but for that which they were about to do, saying, " if we let them alone all men will believe on them, and the Romans will come

The enemies of truth in these days havo only to change these sentences enough to apply them to the Latter-Day Saints, and their complaints amount to the same: for instance, "if we (the editors of thr Baptist Register and the Preston Chronicle) let the Saints thus alone all men will believe on them, and they (the Mormons) will come and take away our place and nation." "These Saints teach contrary to the creeds of the Baptists and other sectarians, saying there is another king, one Jesus." They seem desperately." afraid, too, that this Jesus will avenge the wrongs of the poor oppressed Israelites, and take vengeance upon the Gentiles for their injustice and oppression. The writer seems conscious that the testimony of the Book of Mormon, in regard to the Gentiles, having wronged and oppressed the Indians is correct. He pleads guilty, on the part of the Gentiles, observes that Christ himself and promised to execute justice in this matter, except they (the Gentiles) repent, and come to Christ, and be numbered with Israel. Now, I would ask the Baptist Register and Preston Chronicle what objection they have to this repenting, seeing they themselves acknowledge that the Book of Mormon contains a true and correct testimony of wrongs, sufferings, and abuse heaped upon that remnant by the Gentiles? (Christians.)

If the Gentiles have actually been guilty of that which thes, editors acknowledge, and king Jesus is a just king, has he not a right to call them to repen ance, and to threaten them

with just retribution if they do not repent? But, says the Register, "Mormonism is to triumph, and possess this goodly land?" "it carries with it an invisible spirit, by which the learned and unlearned are overcome." He must have read Daniel, 7th chap, where it is predicted that the saints of the Most High will possess the kingdom and the greatness of the kingdom under the whole heaven. Messrs. Editors, you inquire what can be done; to which I reply, in the words of Mormon, " Do not think that you can turn the right hand of the Lord unto the left, that he may not execute judgment unto the fuifiling of the covenant which he hath made unto the house of Israel."

It is not our intention to undertake a contradiction of all the falsehoods and misrepresentations which appear in the Chronicle and other prints; but we wish it distinctly understood that the interpretation given to the Mormon predictions as to the Latter-Day Saints drawing the sword against others who may differ from them in religious belief is without shadow of truth, being contrary to the whole spirit of the Christian religion, which they (the Saints) profess; and however the

to execute his vengean coupon the ungodly, before they (the Indians) are converted by the record of their forefathers, yet it is certain that if they once became Latter-Day Saints they will never more use weapons of war except in defence of their lives and liberties. The Latter-Day Saints never did draw the sword except in defence of their lives, and of the institutions and laws of their country, and they never will. But this much the Latter-day saints have openly and boldly avowed before God, Angels and Men, viz. That if there ever comes another exterminating order such as was executed in the state of Missouri by order of Governor Boggs, that they will maintain the laws and institutions of American liberty, and defend their rights to the atmost of their power; if it were to blow fifty such governors as Boggs into attoms and their armies too.

The laws and institutions of American liberty have been completely distroyed in the state of Missouri, and a gang of outlaws, murderers, and robbers have been rulers for three years. But such abominations shall come to an end and that right soon.

The holy principles of freedom established by the hand of God, through the instrumentality of Washington, and the fathers of our country, shall be maintained, and shall regain their ascendancy in Missour, and the strong military powers of the Latter-Day Saints and all true Americans shall help to perform it.

Now, if the Baptist Reg ster can call the Missouri murderers "citizens," and thus partake of their evil deeds, let him do so; but the true republican-can never recognize them as anything but a gang of outlaws.

REPLY TO MR. J. B. ROLLO'S "MORMONISM EXPOSED."

Mr. Rollo gives a statement of our doctrine on his first page, in a very correct and intelligent manner, proving it from the Scriptures in a way that no lover of the Bible can object

He then gives three reasons for believing the system of the Latter-Day Saints to be another gospel. First, because a society in Edinburgh, in connection with Dr. Hanniton, hold the same principles, and accuse the Saints of borrowing these principles from them. Secondly, because, as the Galatians had added the law of Moses to the Gospel, and thus perverted it, so the Saints had added the law of J. Smith to the Gospel, and thus made it another; and, thirdly, he says, " While the Apostles promised an inheritance incorruptible, undefiled, and which fadeth not away, the Mormonites preach up a temporal and defilable inheritance, which shall soon pass away; and that only to the rich they teach that it is promixed as a gift of God, yet must be purchased Lord may see fit to make use of the Indians with money! consequently the poor can have

no inheritance: thus the gospel they preach varies in many respects from that preached by Paul."

To these several objections we reply in order—first: we know nothing of Mr. Hamilton and his principles, and have borrowed nothing from them, and further, we can recognise no apostleship as existing in their society unless they produce new revelation attested by several witnesses who have seen and heard for themselves by heavenly vision.

Secondly, as to the law of Joseph Smith being added to the Gospel by us, we know of no law of Joseph Smith; every law which has been given to the Latter-Day Saints is the law of Christ himself; it is given by revelation from Christ himself, and is in accordance with his laws as given to the saints in Paul's day, except so far as times and circumstances may differ, as regards the things to be fulfilled.

If Mr. Smith is like unto Moscs, it is no sign that his law is like unto Moscs's, for Jesus Christ himself is said to be like unto Moses, for Moses said, in reference to Christ, "A prophet shall the Lord your God raise up of your brethren, LIKE UNTO ME." If, then, Christ was like unto Moses, and yet introduced another law, and put an end to Moses's law, why may not Joseph Smith be like unto Moses, especially when we consider that all men are required to be like unto Christ?

He says further, " that the views given of faith, baptism, and the Holy Spirit in the Book of Mormon are incorrect." This is a bare assertion, and is without shadow of truth, as all men must know who have read it.

Thirdly, as to the inheritance of which Mr. R. speaks, as promised to the Latter-Day Saints, it is the earth, or, rather, an inheritance on the earth. And if Mr. R. has made a difference between Paul and the Latter-Day Saints in this respect, he has made the same difference between Paul, Jesus Christ, and all the holy prophets; nay more, between Paul and Mr. R. himself, for he states on page 7th that the seed of Abraham, and all the Gentiles adopted into their family, will come into possession of the carth, even to the utmost bounds of the everlasting hills.

Now what but extreme prejudice, and a determination to find fault, could have induced Mr. R. on page 2d to accuse the Latter-Day Saints of holding out the same promises which Mr. R. holds out on page 7th of the same work? Or, is it because the Latter-Day Saints purchase the land with money which God has given them as a gift? Query. Did not God promise the land of Canaan as a gift to the seed of Israel? and does not the 32a chap., 44th verse of Jeremiah read as follows : "Men shall buy fields for money, and seal them, and take witnesses in the land of Benjamin, and in the places about Jerusalem, and in the cities of Judah, and in the cities of the mountains, and in the cities of the valley,

and in the cities of the south; for I will cause their captivity to return, saith the Lord." Now if God gave the land of Canaan to the Israelites, and then in restoring them in the last days causes them to purchase with money the very country which he has given them, then his dealings with the Latter-Day Saints are on a par with his dealings with Israel. And if Paul preached any thing contradictory to this way of doing then let him be accursed. It may do for Mr. R., in some of his wild freaks of lunacy to think the gospel of Paul authorises him to withhold from Cæsar the things that are Cæsar's, and to undertake to enter upon the lands of others without purchasing them, but the inner walls of a prison or mad house would soop show him his fanaticism.

But, says Mr. R., the poor are excluded; none but the rich can have an inheritance with the Saints, because money is required for land. Query. Will the rich only have inheritance in the land of Canaan at the restoration?

To this perhaps Mr. R. would answer that in the return of Israel the money of the rich will purchase land for the poor, and that the law of God will require them to divide with each other. Well, then, the same answer will apply to the Latter-Day Saints. But here again we shall bring Mr. R. to answer Mr. R.'s objections. On page 10th Mr. R. quotes from the law of Christ given to the saints as of the Church, or any individuals of it, more than is necessary for their support, it shall be kept to minister to those who have not, the residue to be kept in my storehouse to administer to the poor." Again, he quotes, page 11th, a law of Christ given to the saints, commanding them to appoint to the saints " their portion, every man equal according to their families."

Now, Mr. R., can you as a man bound to eternity, justify yourself for saying on page 2d that the laws or rules of the saints hold out an inheritance only to the rich, and then quote what you have quoted, as the laws of the same saints, on page 10 and 11 of your work?

Mr. R. remarks on spiritual gifts, that every member in Paul's day immediately received one or more of the spiritual gifts. This assertion needs proof. It is true that the manifestations of the spirit was given to every man to profit withal: but to say that every man had an outward and visible gift immediately is saying too much. There were many gifts which were not so manifest to others as to those who received them.

Mr. R. seems to think the church in his city are deficient in some of the gifts as yet; and I think probably it is the case, for it is in its infancy, but there is room for it to grow "till it comes behind in no gift." He asks if the signs follow us? to which I reply, yes, as far as we exercise faith and obedience to the commandments of the gospel. I have seen some hundreds of sick healed in the name of Jesus, in almost every country where the Saints have planted the truth. I have seen and heard thousands of men and women speak in tongues and interpret them, and have heard them preach the word of wisdom, and the word of knowledge, and relate their visions, and prophecy. And I can say of a number of the Churches that they come behind in no gift, but I presume Mr. R. did not receive much of the spirit while a member of the Church of the Saints; and I presume he never will in any Church till he is more honest and consistent in his religious views.

He complains that the Church of the Saints have added many offices not mentioned in the New Testament. He then mentions "revelators," " councils," " patriarchs," and " priests after the order of Aaron."

Revelators and councils were had in the New Testament church, and partiarchs and priests of Aaron are promised in the restoration of Israel. "I will restore their councillors as at the first, and their judges as at the beginning;" "I will take of them for priests and for *Levites.* (See Isaiah, last chap., also Malachi III., 3). A patriarch means father, and was known in the New Testament under the name of Evangelist. Mr. R. complains of the American apostles showing no signs and wonders and mighty deeds. To this we reply that there are tens of thousands who witness to the contrary, and their testimony is as good as his.

He complains that we require faith of people who would be healed, as though this was a false doctrine; but Jesus Christ could do nomighty work in one place because of their unbelief; and in another place it is written— "Lest they should see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and be converted, and I should heal them." So, it is Mr. R. and Christ that must settle this question, as they are the persons at variance on the subject.

Now as to all the prophets working miracles as a proof of their divine mission, it is expressly said that John did no miracle, and that there was no greater prophet born of women, and it was condemnation to reject him or his baptism. Mr. R. would reject John for the want of the necessary proofs. He reminds us of the people of whom Jesus complained, saying-"John came neither eating nor drinking, and ye say he hath a devil. The Son of Man came eating and drinking, and ye say, behold a gluttonous man and a wine bibber, a friend of publicans and sinners." So it is with Mr. R. If a prophet were to do great signs and wonders then he (Mr. R.) will quote the text which he has quoted on page 12th, how false prophets should arise, and show great signs and wonders; but if there is not sufficient signs and wonders given, then they are no prophets, but imposters.

Mr. R. brings forward the case of the lame man at the gate of the temple as an instance of a person being healed without faith. But

I would simply ask what but the strongest faith could have induced him to make the attempt to arise and walk, seeing he never walked during a troublesome life of 40 years? Indeed, if he had not faith, he would have laughed them to scorn for requiring such a thing of him. Mr. R. feels himself under peculiar obligation to try them who come as apostles, but he seems ignorant of the only means by which a man or Church is qualified to try apostles, viz, the Holy Spirit of truth, which guides into all truth. Now, my dear sir, this trying apostles, upon which you so much dwell, had nothing to do with the world in general, who had the beam in their own eye, but the language was addressed to the Church of God at Ephesus, who had received the Holy Spirit through the ordinances under the hand of an apostle, viz. Paul. Now this Church had by the spirit of truth tried them who said they were apostles and were not, and had found them liars. So if Mr. R. would know a man's apostleship he must know it by the spirit of truth, and not by the great signs and wonders which may be performed either by an apostle or a private member, or even by a false prophet.

Mr. R. accuses Mr. Smith of calling himself the president of the high priesthood. Mr. S . has never called himself by any such title. If the God of heaven has spoken by revelation, and has chosen a man to office, it is false to say he calls himself by that title. Again he says, Smith calls himself the head of the Church. This is also a mistake. Mr. S. never called himself the head of the Church. The text alluded to by Mr. R. points out a certain office, whether filled by Mr. Smith or any other man, which office "should possess all the gifts of God which he bestows upon the head of the church;" as much as to say he should be like unto Christ, and possess all the gifts which Christ himself possessed, for it is well understood that Christ is the head of the Church. But it is evident that a man may possess all the gifts which Christ did possess, from the fact that he has promised that "he that believeth in me, the works that I do he shall do also." Of course, then, the man who does the same works that Jesus Christ did will possess the same gifts.

Mr. R. draws the following comparison to prove that Smith is not like unto Moses, after falsely accusing him of pretending to be like unto Moses:—

He says, Moses gave sufficient signs by which the people believed him, and Smith does not. But I do not see that Smith finds any difficulty in getting the people to believe him, indeed his success in this respect is far greater than that of Moses, for even in his youth he is hailed as a prophet by tens of thousands, extending over near half the globe. But I think the two will contrast to better advantage after Smith has had a career of 120 years, as Moses had. It is very unjust to compare a youth of 30 to a man of a hundred and twenty. But now to Mr. R.'s contrast of the two.

He says, "Moses drowned the enemies of his disciples in the Red Sca, and delivered all who had been baptised unto him from their power; but Smith's disciples field, and fell before their enemies, and he had no power to deliver them who had been immersed into the Church of which he is the head. Moses pro vided water and bread for the people in the wilderness; Smith's bank failed and took the bread ont of the mouths of the people. The earth opened and swallowed up the enemies of Moses; according to Smith's testimony the earth drunk the blood of his disciples, while his enemies escaped unhurt.

Now, Mr. R., I presume you acknowledge that Jesus Christ is in Scripture justly compared to Moses. Now let us try your contrast to Christ and Moses, and see if it fits any better than it does between Smith and Moses. Moses drowned the enemies of his disciples in the Red Sea, and delivered all who had been baptised unto him from their power ; but Christ's disciples fled and fell before their enemies, and Christ did not deliver those who had been immersed into the Church of which he was the head. Nay, more, they killed Christ himself, and also killed James and Stephen, and Paul, and even Peter, who held the keys of the kingdom, and even John they banished to a desolate island, as if counteracting the commandments of him who had sent him into all the world.

Moses provided water and bread for them in the wilderness. Christ, though sometimes providing bread for his disciples, at other times suffered hunger, and his disciples; too, not having where to lay their heads.

The earth opened and swallowed up the enemies of Moses. The earth drank the blood of Christ and his disciples, while their enemies escaped unhurt.

Now, Mr. Rollo will admit that Christ was like unto Moses, and that Smith differs entirely from Moses; but when he comes to point out the difference-behold! it is in those very points where Christ and his disciples differed from Moses. And yet Mr. R. is an honest impartial judge, at least in his own estimation, and complains bitterly that these modern apostles will not submit to come to Edinburgh that they may be judged, and tested by so high and impartial a standard as the unprejudiced, impartial, and clear-sighted judge Rollo, who at one moment charges the Saints of murder for defending their rights, and the next moment sets them down as false prophets for letting these same enemies escape unhurt.

Mr. R. asserts that O. Cowdery, one of the three witnesses to the Book of Mormon, is declared in the Book of Doctrine and Covenants (sec. 28) to be unworthy of trust. This is not so. The quotation has no aflusion to O. Cowdery's trustworthyness, but rather to the necessity of some one going with him as he had a long journey of 1000 miles to travel through a wild country with a sum of money.

On page 5 Mr. R. sums up every manner of evil which has been spoken against us for Christ's sake, and then says such a multiplicity of evidence against it must completely ontweigh the testimony of the witnesses in its favor. Here again he comes in direct contact with the rules of Scripture. "Woe unto you when all men shall speak well of you, for so did their fathers of the false prophets." Blessed are you when men hate you, and speak ALL MAN-NER of evil against you falsely for my sake, The signs following the believer is åc. not more in accordance with the promises of Jesus Christ than the fact that all manner of evil will be spoken of them, and that they will be hated of all men.

The Jews of a foreign synagogue said unto Paul "as for this sect it is everywhere spoken against." Now if Mr. Rollo had lived in the days of Paul, he would have said that such a multiplicity of evidence was quite sufficient to outweigh the testimony of Paul and others.

Mr. R. represents us as saying that the promises and blessings to Joseph, Ephrain, &c, have been fulfilled; but this is a mistake. We say that they are now to be fulfilled; the Lord making (the American Indians) "her that halteth a remnant, and gathering her that has been afflicted, and making her that was east far of? a strong nation, and reigning over them in Mount Zion, from henceforth, even for ever."—(See the Prophecy of Mical.)

for ever."—(See the Prophecy of Mical.) Mr. B. quotes Zechariah 14th, " And all the families of the earth shall go up to Jerusalem once a year and do homage." By an unreasonable translation of the Hebrew word Arates, which signifies carth or land, Mr. R. is led into one of the most ridiculous blunders, namely, that the inhabitants of the most inland parts of America are all to perform a journey to Jerusalem every year, making them a journey of two or three thousand miles on the continent of America, three or four thousand more aross the ocean, and then two thousand more up the Mediterranean sea, in all at least seven thousand miles. This doubled by going and coming, would make fourteen thousand miles that every man, woman, and child must perform every year to appear at Jerusalem. All this Mr. R. can believe, sooner than believe that America will have a sauctuary of its own and a holy eity for the resort of its tribes and nations.

Well, Mr. R., the Latter-Day Saints cannot stretch their marvellousness enough for to believe this; so, to avoid this extraordinary stretch of the marvellous and unreasonable, they take the liberty of translating the Hebrew word Arates, land instead of earth, in this text. It will then read thus: "All the families of the and shall go up once a year to Jerusalem," &c. This does not transgress the laws of the Hebrew language, and at the same time renders the fulfilment of the prediction possible.

Mr. R. complains of the use we make of the 37th of Ezekiel, in regard to the stick of Judah, meaning the Bible, and the stick of Joseph, meaning the Bock of Mormon; but it is sufficient to say that these two sticks evidently had allusion to writings,—and that the Bible is a record of Judah or of the Jews is so manifest as to need no proof—and that the Book of Mormon is the writings of the seed of Joseph is equally evident, and that a man of the tribe of Joseph is the person into whose hands it was committed for translation is established beyond controversy. (See Lehi's blessing upon his son Joseph.)

Mr. R. says, " Paul tells us that the Gospel was kept secret since the world began: to which we reply that if Paul tells that, he tells an untruth, for Panl tells that the Gospel was preached unto Abraham, that it was preached to the Children of Israel in the wilderness in the days of Moses, and that life and immortality were brought to light through the Gospel. Enoch, before the flood, had a knowledge of life and immortality, and therefore must nave had the Gospel. Melchisedek was a priest after the same order that Christ was, and therefore must have had the Gospel; and John says, "That which was from the begin-ning declare we unto you." Will Mr. R. tell us where "Paul says the Gospel was kept secret since the world began ?"

He quotes a toxt which says that there were other ages in which it was not made known that the Gentiles should be *fellow-heirs*; but in this text he does not even hint that the *Gospel* was not made known to other ages; and Addeed it was made known to other ages; and Addeed it was made known to other ages; and Addeed it was made known to other ages; and Addeed it was made known to other ages; and Addeed it was made known to other ages; and Addeed it was made known to other ages; and the prophets of old that the Gentiles should be follow-heirs with Israel, for the promises to Abraham, Isaiah, and others, are as plain on that point as the promise to Nephi; so that in the text quoted from Paulit is evident that he only alladed to the blindness of the Jews and others, who did not understand the prophets.

The Scriptures, in declaring Canaan to be the glory of all lands, was not contrasting that and with America, as to which was the best, but was contrasting it with lands known to the ancients, among whom that book was written. But it is now self-evident that America is, in many respects, better than Canaan, both as it regards its extent and the richness and variety of its mineral and vegetable productions.

Mr. R. says the Book of Mormon describes the world as receiving the Holy Ghost, in order to make them Christians; this is not so, and the quotation which is brought to prove it is a garbled one. If quoted in its fulness, it only goes to show that the *Saints*, not the world, had a general out-pouring of the spirit to prepare them to receive the word at the time of Christ's coming. (See page 286 of the Book of Mormon.)

Mr. R. brings two quotations from the vision of Nephi, recorded on the 123rd and 124th pages of the Book of Mormon, because it speaks of Christ and baptism in the past tenso, when it was yet future. He calls it an imposition, and something which must have been written after Christ; but the vision there recorded explains itself clearly, and Mr. R.'s misrepresentations must have been wilful.— Nephi first had a vision of Christ and his baptism, which he foretells was yet future; and then speaks of it in the past tenso, the same as Isaiah, who speaks of the death of Christ in the past tense many hundred years before his birth, saying, "He was led as a lamb to the slaughter," &c.

Come, Mr. R., come out infidel at once, and say Isaiah was an "imposter," and that his book must have been written after Christ.

Mr.R. quotes Hebrews, where Paul is made to say that "If Christ were on earth he could not be a priest." Now, Mr. R., we would have you tell us whether Christ as a priest offered sacrifices on *earth*, or whether it was in *heaven*. If Mount Calvery, where he offered an atonement of his own blood, on the tree, was on *earth*, then Paul has been made by translators or copyers, to say what he never said; for it was on *earth*, not in *heaven* that Jesus Christ offered his great and only sacrifice for sin; and Paul knew this fact too well to have said the contrary.

Mr. R. quotes Heb. viii., 12, "the priesthood being changed there is of necessity a change of the law." He then complains of the Book of Mormon for a change of priesthood, for many years before Christ, without any change of the law till Christ came. To this we would reply, that Christ was under the law during his whole ministry in the flesh; * and it was under the law that he, as a high priest, offered sacrifice. Therefore, the same objection would apply equally to the Bible as the Book of Mormon.

He complains bitterly of our not keeping the commandments given us in the Book of Covenents to publish it to the world. To which we reply that it has been published to the world till out of print.

Mr. R., be patient; three printing establishments have been destroyed for us in ten years, by the cruelty and violence of men who were inspired, by such misrepresentations as you have published. The Lord is not so hard a master as Mr. R., he his willing to give us time.

Mr. R. pretends to quote a passage from the Book of Covenants, sec. xvi, 16. This is a mistake of his; the passage is found in sec. xiii 16. But if this misprint were all we could charitably pass over it; but he quotes the passage wrong, and turns it into another meaning altogether.

* The Law Dispensation was in force till the Death of Christ. He makes it read thus: "Thou shalt take the things which thou has received, and which may be given unto thee in my scriptures for a law," "The passage reads, "have been given" instead of "may be given," the true text refering the church to the scriptures for their guide, the false quotations guiding them. to some , thing which should be.

Mr. R. says we have a law for all surplus properties to be put into Smith's store. This is *false*. Smith is not a *Bishop*; neither has he anything to do with the store house or dividing; that is attended to by the Bishops and their councellors; and Mr. Smith is bound by the same law, to contribute his portion to the store house, if he has any to spare, for the poor. This answers the objection of Mr. R. in regard to profits on the Book of Mormon, if. there were any profits on it.

Mr. R. quotes a prophecy of A. Campbell, as follows: "Smith will purchase some land, and then it will be commanded by the Lord that all who do not help to build and inhabit the new city upon the said lands shall be utterly dcstroyed in the impending vengeance." He then adds, "this prophecy has been fulfilled in the building up of Nauvoo." This is almost as near the truth as the other statements.

The land in and abont Nauvoo is not purchased by Smith, except a small portion, consisting of one farm and house; the rest is purchased by individuals, and so far from commanding every body to settle there on pain of utter destruction, the saints have something near a dozen towns and villages, besides large farming interests in different parts of the country; and all as much under the sanction of Mr. Smith as Nauvoo.

So I pronounce Mr. A. Campbell a false prophet, and Mr. R. a publisher of lies, in order to establish his predictions.

His remarks in reference to a bishop on page 12, as referring to Mr. Smith needs no answer, as Mr. Smith, to whom he refers, was never a bishop. Neither does he hold any military office, as is conjectured by Mr. R. Lastly, we are represented as saying that the angel spoken of in Rev. xiv has accomplished his mission. We say he has not accomplished his mission, but only commenced it; it will be completed by the great sound of a trump, sounding the gospel in the ears of all living.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Sold at No. 47, Oxford-street, Manchester, wholesale and retail, and by the agents in most of the branches and conferences in England and Scotland :--

	S.	α.
Book of Mormon	5	0
History of the late Persecution of the Latter Day Saints		
in America	1	6
Poems and Treatise on the Regeneration and Eternal	0	~
Duration of Matter	2	0
Star, vol. 1st, bound, 12 monthly Nos	6	0
Star, 1st 2d and 3d Nos. vol. 2nd, each. 15,	0	3
Hymn Books		0
Replies to Rev. Mr. Bush, and others	0	14
or 4s. per 100.		
O. Pratt's Tract-Remarkable Visions	0	4
H. C. Kimball's Journal	1	0
H. C. Kimban Sournal		
A new tract of 12 pages, entitled "A Letter to the		
Queen," designed for general circulation, price, single	0	1
an 4a man 100		